

National Judicial Academy

P-1327: National Workshop for High Courts Justices
21st – 22nd January, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Amit Mehrotra and Dr. Sonam Jain

No. of forms received : 14

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	100.00	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	92.86	7.14	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	100.00	-	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	92.86	7.14	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	78.57	21.43	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	100.00	-	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	78.57	21.43	-	-
c. Up to date	66.67	33.33	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	84.62	15.38	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	41.67	58.33	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	78.57	21.43	-	-
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	66.67	33.33	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	76.92	23.08	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	78.57	21.43	-	-
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	58.33	41.67	-	-
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	70.00	30.00	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	100.00	-	100.00	-
2	100.00	-	100.00	-
3	91.67	8.33	87.50	12.50
4	91.67	8.33	100.00	-
5	66.67	33.33	71.43	28.57
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	84.62	15.38	-	-

b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	69.23	30.77	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	100.00	-	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Experience, vision, knowledge.</p> <p>2. Environmental outlook, medical negligence contempt of court matter.</p> <p>6. Visualize, assessment and reasoning while adjudicating a case.</p> <p>7. 1. Effective learning programme. 2. Improved inputs on the subject. 3. Understood the case law in medical negligence cases.</p> <p>8. We exchanged our views.</p> <p>9. Importance of need to protect environment and pragmatic approach in dealing with such litigations.</p> <p>10. 1. Achieved clarity on many environment aspects. 2. Got clarity on law of contempt. 3. Received detailed information on medical negligence.</p> <p>11. All the sessions were lively and informative and I look forward to putting the techniques I have learned today in practice.</p> <p>13. Balancing of personal liberty use of IT. Environment law inclusion in the curriculum.</p> <p>14. Bail & contempt topics were most useful and helped in understanding subject as well as implementing.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Address of Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.U. Lalit, Former Chief Justice of India and Hon'ble Dr. Justice B. S. Chauhan, Former Judge, Supreme Court of India.</p> <p>2. Contempt of court matter.</p> <p>3. <i>Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies & Session-4 Law of Contempt.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies & Session-4 Law of Contempt.</i></p> <p>5. Bails and contempt and medical negligence cases.</p> <p>6. Two session on both days are most useful & I feel it will be useful for administration of justice and dispensing of justice.</p> <p>7. Contempt session, Bail jurisprudence.</p> <p>8. Last part of the programme.</p> <p>9. Contempt of court. DNA analysis and related subjects.</p> <p>10. Contempt and medical negligence as the speakers dealt with it in detail.</p>

	<p>11. Entire workshop has made things clearer and I am very thankful for the same. This will be extremely helpful in writing effective judgements.</p> <p>14. Bail & contempt topics were most useful and helped in understanding subject as well as implementing.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>2. Time schedule- each resource persons should be given one hour to deliver his speech.</p> <p>6. Time frame may be increased.</p> <p>7. More time to be allotted for interactive session.</p> <p>10. Some bigger display system should be installed for PPT presentation.</p> <p>12. Session be arranged to learn court craft and method to improve disposal of cases.</p> <p>14. More time shall be given for interaction. Speakers should drag the participants for discussions.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>2. It is a well-structured programme.</p> <p>5. Over all good.</p> <p>6. As it is very good and effective.</p> <p>7. One hour for each session.</p> <p>14. Choose two or three subjects which are most relevant to judge of High Court & through discussion on the subject, keeping in mind the mistakes committed by judges in answering such common questions.</p>